



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/008,264	10/008,264 12/03/2001		Laurie H. Glimcher	HUI-040CP	2529	
959	7590	01/10/2006		EXAMINER		
LAHIVE &		FIELD, LLP.	OUSPENS	OUSPENSKI, ILIA I		
BOSTON, N)9		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1644		
			DATE MAILED: 01/10/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/008,264	GLIMCHER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
ILIA OUSPENSKI	1644		

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief								
Before the Filling of all Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
	ILIA OUSPENSKI	1644						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED <u>14 December 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	FOR ALLOWANCE.						
☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO								
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have seen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) bove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any arred patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp								
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ex Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be								
AMENDMENTS								
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo 	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or			the issues for					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ejected claims.						
1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)): See Continuation Sheet.							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendm	nent canceling					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro	vided below or appended.		·					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		PHILLIP GAMB	2					
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		PHILLIP GAMBEL, PH.(12D					
Claim(s) rejected: 1,2,4,6,8-12,50,51,53-55,57,58 and 61	'-86.	PRIMARY EXAMINER	.10					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		REHCENRIN	1600					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		115/06						
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a					
0. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.								
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>	it does NOT place the application i	in condition for allowa	ince because:					
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3. Other: See Continuation Sheet.								

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): the rejections of record under 35 USC 112, first and second paragraph.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's amendement e.g. to claim 50 raises the issues of New Matter and possibly issues under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, which require new consideration. With regard to New Matter, Applicant has not identified specifically where the support for the amendments is in the specification or original claims. The provisional obviousness-type Double Patenting rejection of record is maintained for the reasons of record.

Continuation of 13. Other: Applicant's response states that a substitute sequence listing has been submitted. However, no CRF has been received, and therefore the instant application fails to comply with the requirements of 37 CFR 1.821 through 1.825, for the reasons of record. APPLICANT MUST COMPLY WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE SEQUENCE RULES (37 CFR 1.821 - 1.825) IN RESPONSE TO THIS OFFICE ACTION. The Examiner apologizes for the inconvenience to Applicant in this matter.